Case 1:17-cv-00589-LGS-RWL Document 365 Filed 06/18/20 Page 1 of 3



Keker, Van Nest & Peters LLP 633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 415 391 5400 keker.com

Khari J. Tillery (415) 773-6621 ktillery@keker.com

June 17, 2020

The Honorable Lorna G. Schofield United States District Court Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007 APPLICATION GRANTED. Defendant may file the listed submissions in redacted form. Defendant shall ensure that Plaintiffs can access unredacted versions of these submissions on the docket.

Dated: June 18, 2020 New York, New York

LORNA G. SCHOFIELD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Re: Medidata Solutions, Inc., et al. v. Veeva Systems, Inc., Case No. 1:17-cv-00589

Dear Judge Schofield:

Pursuant to Your Honor's Individual Rule I.D.3, Defendant Veeva Systems, Inc. ("Veeva") moves for leave of Court to file a redacted version of Veeva's Reply In Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment, and to file under seal certain related supporting documents. *All* of the material sought to be redacted or filed under seal consists of or relates to information that Plaintiffs Medidata Solutions, Inc.'s and MDSOL Europe Limited's (collectively, "Medidata") have designated "Highly Confidential" under the terms of the Protective Order in this case. ECF 119. Veeva does not seek confidential treatment for this material.

Due to the breadth of Medidata's confidentiality designations, Veeva is not always able to determine the exact boundaries of what Medidata believes requires confidential treatment. Veeva has thus erred on the side of over-inclusiveness.

Because Medidata is the only "party with an interest in confidential treatment" of this information, Medidata bears the burden of persuasion to seal it. Individual Rule I.D.3. Veeva does not concede that any of this information is in fact confidential or sealable.

Specifically, Veeva requests leave to file in redacted form or under seal the following materials:

- Defendant Veeva Systems, Inc.'s Reply In Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment (redacted)
- Defendant Veeva Systems, Inc.'s Reply Rule 56.1 Statement in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment (under seal)

Case 1:17-cv-00589-LGS-RWL Document 365 Filed 06/18/20 Page 2 of 3

The Honorable Lorna G. Schofield June 17, 2020 Page 2

- Declaration of Reid Mullen (under seal)
- Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 9, 10, & 12 to the Declaration of Reid Mullen (under seal)
- Pre-Motion Letter re Motion to Strike and accompanying exhibits (redacted)

Respectfully submitted,

KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP

Khari J. Tillery

KJT:jr

cc: All counsel of record

The Honorable Lorna G. Schofield June 17, 2020 Page 3

Appendix of Appendix of Parties and Attorneys of Record in Accordance with Individual Rule I.D.3

Medidata Solutions, Inc. and MDSOL Europe Limited	Veeva Systems, Inc.
Patrick Arnett	Christa Anderson
David Nelson Draper	Kevin Joseph Bruno
Jordan Mitchell Heinz	Elizabeth Egan
Joseph Allen Loy	Andrew T. Hambelton
Claudia Elizabeth Ray	Reid P. Mullen
Yosef J. Riemer	Divya Musinipally
Benjamin A. Yaghoubian	Jay Rapaport
	Benjamin David Rothstein
	Khari J. Tillery
	Molly Caldwell Villagra